

APPENDIX 1(a) - PROJECT TEAM TEEP ANALYSIS

TEEP CRITERIA	Technical Practicability				Environmental Practicability						Economical Practicability									
	MRF capability	Container storage	Street access etc	Fleet issues	Emissions	Journey length	Volume recycle	Size & nature of District	Local amenity	Street blight	Contract cost	Fuel costs	Fleet costs	Recyclate value	Effect upon volumes	Costs of comms etc	Available capital	MRF availability	Modelling alternatives	Nature of resident population
Method of assessment	Method statements at ISFT	Local knowledge Member opinion	Local knowledge Member opinion	Method statements at ISFT		Local knowledge	Local knowledge WYG advice	Local knowledge	Local knowledge Member opinion	Local knowledge Member opinion	Tender returns			Tender returns	Local knowledge and review of Waste Dataflow statistics	Local knowledge Previous exercises	EFDC budget	Method statements at ISFT WYG advice		Local knowledge Member opinion
Date of assessment	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014	16/04/2014		16/04/2014

Assessment options:
 1 = wholly negative impact
 2 = mainly negative impact
 3 = neutral impact
 4 = mainly positive impact
 5 = wholly positive impact

SERVICE OPTIONS

TOTAL

Existing service	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	57
Existing (single pass)(5 day)	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	63
Existing (single pass)(4 day)	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	62
Commingled clear sack	2	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	4	59
Commingled wheeled bin	2	2	2	3	4	4	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	55
Source segregated	3	1	1	3	1	1	2	3	2	2	2	3	3	4	2	1	3	3	1	41
Kerbside sort	3	1	1	2	1	1	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	3	3	2	40